

1 SULLIVAN, HILL, LEWIN, REZ & ENGEL
 2 A Professional Law Corporation
 3 Jeffrey D. Lewin, SBN 68202
 4 550 West "C" Street, Suite 1500
 5 San Diego, California 92101
 6 Telephone: (619) 233-4100
 7 Fax Number: (619) 231-4372

8 Attorneys for METABO CORPORATION

9
 10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 11 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 JENS ERIK SORENSEN, as Trustee of) Case No. 08-cv-0304 BTM CAB
 13 SORENSEN RESEARCH AND)
 14 DEVELOPMENT TRUST,)
 15 Plaintiff,)
 16 v.)
 17 METABO CORPORATION, a Delaware)
 18 Corporation; METABOWERKE GMBH)
 19 A German Corporation; and DOES 1-100,)
 20 Defendants.)

21 Defendant Metabo Corporation ("Metabo"), solely on behalf of itself and not for any other
 22 party, answers plaintiff's Complaint for Patent Infringement ("Complaint") as follows:

23 **THE PARTIES**

24 1. Metabo denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or
 25 falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 1 of the Complaint and therefore denies them.

26 2. Metabo admits the allegations of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.

27 3. Metabo admits the allegations of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

28 4. Metabo denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth or
 29 falsity of the allegations of Paragraph 4 of the Complaint and therefore denies them.

30 5. Metabo admits that it is a wholly owned but separate subsidiary of Metabowerke
 31 GMBH. Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 5 of

1 the Complaint.

2 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

3 6. Metabo admits that plaintiff purports to bring this lawsuit under the statutes referred
4 to in Paragraph 6 of the Complaint. Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every
5 allegation of Paragraph 6 of the Complaint.

6 7. Metabo admits that the statutes cited govern venue. Except as expressly admitted,
7 Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8 8. Metabo admits that this Court has personal jurisdiction over it. Except as expressly
9 admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

10 **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

11 9. Metabo repeats and incorporates by reference its answers to Paragraphs 1 through 8
12 above as though fully set forth herein.

13 10. Metabo admits the allegations of Paragraph 10 of the Complaint.

14 11. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 11 of the Complaint.

15 12. Metabo admits that it sold one or more of the products referred to in Paragraph 12 of
16 the Complaint. Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph
17 12 of the Complaint.

18 13. Metabo admits that it has not obtained a license from plaintiff with respect to the '184
19 patent. Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 13 of
20 the Complaint.

21 14. Metabo admits that the '184 patent was issued on June 19, 1990. Except as expressly
22 admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

23 15. Metabo admits that on October 3, 2007, it received a letter from plaintiff alleging that
24 it was "substantially likely" that the plastic housing of a least one of defendant's BSP 12 V and 15.6
25 V plus cordless drill/drivers was fabricated utilizing a process that infringed the '184 patent. Except
26 as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

27 16. Metabo admits that it sells products that have external plastic housings. Except as
28 expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 16 of the Complaint.

1 17. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

2 18. Metabo admits that it does not have a license from plaintiff under the '184 patent.

3 Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 18 of the
4 Complaint.

5 19. Metabo admits that on October 3, 2007, plaintiff provided Metabo with a
6 communication including a drawing and a chart entitled "Claim Chart for Sorensen R&D Drawing
7 D-5714". Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 19 of
8 the Complaint.

9 20. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

10 21. Metabo admits that the letter it received from plaintiff on October 3, 2007, requested
11 information. Except as expressly admitted, Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph
12 21 of the Complaint.

13 22. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 22 of the Complaint.

14 23. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 23 of the Complaint.

15 24. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 24 of the Complaint.

16 25. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 25 of the Complaint.

17 26. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 26 of the Complaint.

18 27. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 27 of the Complaint.

19 28. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 28 of the Complaint.

20 29. Metabo denies each and every allegation of Paragraph 29 of the Complaint.

21 **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

22 **First Affirmative Defense**

23 30. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

24 **Second Affirmative Defense**

25 31. Metabo has not infringed, and the sale and/or offer for sale of any of Metabo's
26 products does not constitute infringement of, any valid claim of the '184 patent.

27 **Third Affirmative Defense**

28 32. Metabo does not induce or contribute to, and has not actively induced and/or

1 contributed to, infringement of the '184 patent by others.

2 **Fourth Affirmative Defense**

3 33. The claims of the '184 patent are invalid and/or unenforceable under 35 U.S.C.
 4 §102(b) on the grounds that the claimed invention was "known or used" by others prior to the named
 5 inventor of said patent.

6 **Fifth Affirmative Defense**

7 34. The claims of the '184 patent are invalid and/or unenforceable under 35 U.S.C.
 8 §102(b) on the ground that the claimed invention was patented, described in a printed publication, in
 9 public use or was on sale more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent.

10 **Sixth Affirmative Defense**

11 35. The claims of the '184 patent are invalid and/or unenforceable under 35 U.S.C. §103
 12 on the ground that the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art
 13 at the time that invention was made.

14 **Seventh Affirmative Defense**

15 36. The '184 patent is invalid, void and/or unenforceable under one or more of sections
 16 101, 102, 103, and 112 of Title 35 U.S.C.

17 **Eighth Affirmative Defense**

18 37. Plaintiff's claims are barred by laches, estoppel and/or waiver.

19 **Ninth Affirmative Defense**

20 38. Plaintiff is estopped from construing any claim of the '184 patent to cover or include
 21 any process, device, component or structure used, sold or offered for sale by Metabo because of
 22 positions taken during the prosecution before the United States Patent and Trademark Office of the
 23 application which resulted in the '184 Patent.

24 **Tenth Affirmative Defense**

25 39. Metabo adopts and incorporates herein all affirmative defenses available pursuant to
 26 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8 (or any applicable statute or regulation), to the extent the facts
 27 known at this time would make any of said defenses available or facts developed in the future would
 28 make the same available. No affirmative defense is waived, including any defense of

1 unenforceability based upon inequitable conduct before the United States Patent and Trademark
2 Office in prosecution of the application leading to the '184 Patent.

3 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

4 WHEREFORE, Metabo requests the Court grant judgment as follows:

5 A. Dismissing the Complaint;
6 B. Awarding Metabo its attorney's fees and costs;
7 C. Ruling that plaintiff's patent is invalid and that, whether or not it is invalid, Metabo is
8 not infringing; and
9 D. Granting such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

10 **JURY DEMAND**

11 Metabo requests a trial by jury on all issues triable to a jury.

12 Respectfully submitted:

13 METABO CORPORATION

15 Date: Apr. 17, 2008

16 By: Jeffrey D. Lewin

17 Jeffrey D. Lewin
18 Sullivan Hill Lewin Rez & Engel
19 550 West C Street, Suite 1500
20 San Diego, CA 92101
21 (619) 233-4100
22 lewin@shlaw.com

23 OF COUNSEL:

24 Cook, Little, Rosenblatt & Manson, p.l.l.c.
25 Arnold Rosenblatt
26 650 Elm Street
27 Manchester, NH 03101
28 (603) 621-7102

29 Bourque & Associates, P.A.
30 Daniel J. Bourque
31 835 Hanover Street, Suite 301
32 Manchester, NH 03104
33 (603) 623-5111